High Throughput Assays for the Characterization of Monomeric GTPases of the Ras Superfamily

Michael Lassle1, Marie-Elaine Caruso2, Sarah Jenna2, Simon Beaulne3 , Eun Hye Lee2, Anne Bergeron 4, Cédric Chauve³, Philippe Roby¹, Jean-François Rual⁵, David E Hill⁵, Marc Vidal⁵, Roger Bossé¹ and Eric Chevet².
¹PerkinElmer BioSignal (Canada), ²McGill University (Canada), ³U.Q.A.M. (Canada), ²W. MCGill *4University of Montreal (Canada), 5Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (USA)*

8

100125

% of total CPM

10 20 30 40 50 60 70

Time (minutes)

Half Life = 16.7min

A) B)

externolysis activity assessed the half-life values represent the average of at least the at least three independent experiments.

no longer in proximity and a loss of signal is measured.

9

Streptavidin-coated GDP

n o

performed in triplicate.

1

uperfamily of GTPases

We have developed an innovative analytical platform to perform systematic comparison of protein families based on their biochemical properties. Our approach was validated on the Rho subfamily of GTPases. We used two high throughput technologies (AlphaScreenTM and FlashPlate®), to measure nucleotide binding capacity, exchange, and hydrolysis activities of small monomeric GTPases. These two technologies benefit from high sensitivity while allowing for homogenous and high throughput assays. To analyze and integrate the data obtained, we have developed an algorithm that allows the classification of GTPases according to their enzymatic activities. Integration and hierarchical clustering of these results revealed unexpected features of the small Rho GTPases when compared with primary sequence-based trees. We therefore suggest a novel phylobiochemical classification of the Ras

Abstract

2CeRac2 **45 kDa**Ced-10 CeMig2 CeCrp **AB** CeCdC42 CeRho1 **A)** SDS-PAGE of RhoGTPases GST-fusion proteins. Cloning was performed using Gateway from Invitrogen. **B)** A Rho GTPases phylogenetic tree was produced by multiple sequence alignments analysis

stalW followed by DNAsis. All GTPases except RhoBH and Rac1M are found within C.elegans genome.

3GTP loading activity GSH 35S**RhoGSH Rho**35SGTPγS

[35S]GTPγ**S saturation binding assay.** FlashPlate**®** experimental design for [**³⁵**S]GTPγS binding assay. Nucleotide-free GST-GTPases were added to a GSH FlashPlate and incubated with different concentrations of [**³⁵**S]GTPγS. Radioligand interacting with the GST-GTPase activates the FlashPlate scintillation and generate a luminescene

the [35S]GTP_{/S} and GST-CDC-42 or GST alone. The apparent Kd (Kd_{ana}) value corresponds to entration at which 50% of the maximum binding is reached. This graph represents a single experiment done in triplicate. **B)** comparison of the Kdapp values obtained in the [35S]GTPγS loading assay for six *C. elegans* GTPases including a GTP binding-deficient GTPase (mRAC-1N17). All the Kd_{arp} values represent the average of at least three indepen experiments performed in triplicate.

5RhoGTPases from C.elegans **GTP** Competition activity **GTP GTP** hydrolysis activity Donor BeadsEmissionAcceptor BeadsExcitation 1O2Excitation680 nmStreptavidin-coated 1O2

> **biotin-GTP_/S competition assay**. AlphaScreen experimental design for the biotin-GTP_/S
competition assay. Nucleoide-free GST-GTPases were inculated with a constant concentration of
biotin-GTP_/S and increasing concent GTPyS interacts with the protein, the two beads are in close proximity and a signal is detectable
upon laser excitation of the Donor bead. Presence of unlabeled nucleotides (GDP, GTP) competes
the binding of the biotin-GTP

A) bein-GTP or GTP on CDC-42 or GST. The curves obtained with either ATP or GTP on CDC-42 or GST. The curve ult of a single experiment done in triplicate. The IC₅₀ value corresponds to the competitor
tration required for the loss of 50% of the maximum signal (obtained in the absence of co suit or a single experiment done in tripicate. The
ntration required for the loss of 50% of the maxim **B)** comparison of the IC₅₀ values obtained for six *C. elegans* GTPases including a GTP binding-deficient GTP
GTPase (mRAC-1N17) using the biotin-GTPγS competition assay. The IC₅₀ values for the competition say represent the average of at least three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

[³³**P]GTP hydrolysis activity assay.** FlashPlate experimental design for the [33P]GTP hydrolysis activity. GST-GTPases were added to a GSH FlashPlate and were loaded with either 500 nCi of [35S]GTPγS or 500 nCi of [33P]GTP. When the GTPase hydrolyzes the ^γ ^phosphate of [³³P]GTP, the radioactivity is liberated in the reaction mixture, and β particles are quenched by the aqueous solution leading to the inactivation of the scintillation reaction. A decrease of signal is then measured. [35S]GTPγS, a non-hydrolyzable analog of the GTP, is used as a control to measure the nucleotide dissociation from the GST fusion protein.

> **GTP**γ**S³⁵ GTP**γ**P³³**

Half life of hydrolysis (min)

A) the half-life value is the time needed for a GTPase to hydrolyze 50% of the initially bound [33P]GTP with the [⁵⁹S]GTPyS dissociation parameter excluded. The graph shows the hydrolysis and dissociation curves
obtained for CDC-42 in a single experiment done in triplicate. **B**) comparison of the half-life values obtained
for s

biotin-GDP/GTP exchange activity assay. AlphaScreen experimental design for the measure of the GTPase exchange activity. GST-GTPases were loaded with biotin-GDP and incubated with different concentrations of GTP. Streptavidin Donor beads capture biotin-GDP while the anti-GST Acceptor beads bind to GST-GTPases. When biotin-GDP interacts with the GTPases,
the two beads are brought in close proximity and a signal is produced upon laser excitation of
the Donor beads. When biotin-GDP is ex

GDP exchange activity

Streptavidin-coated Donor Beadsbiotinylated

 $\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \mathcal{L}^{(3)}$ $\mathcal{L}^{(4)} = \mathcal{L}^{(5)}$ $\mathcal{L}^{(6)} = \mathcal{L}^{(6)}$ $\mathcal{L}^{(6)} = \mathcal{L}^{(6)}$

ا م کشما

Acceptor Beads

1O2

A) curves obtained in a single assay done in triplacate for GST-CDC-2 and GST alones using this biordinal CDP (CDPC) and CDPC (CDPC) and control of the size of t

**Comparison and integration of the enzymatic trees of Rho GTPases. Hierarchical clustering trees
based on GTPase biochemical activities are shown (A-D) and according to the integration of these four** activities (E). These trees were then compared with the amino acid sequence- based tree (*F*). The bar graph (*G*) shows the distance, calculated using Euclidean matrices, between the SB tree and different cal trees integrating one, two, three, or four activities (*A*, *B*, *C*, and *D*). The smaller the distance, the closer the biochemical tree is from the SB tree.

The comparative analysis of *C.elegans* GTPases revealed that, despite of their sequence
homology, all GTPases have distinctive properties based on their biochemical activities.

Results obtained allowed to propose a new classification of small G-proteins based on their ides more pertinent information directly linked to protein function.

Using the methods described herein, we are currently characterizing all the 61 C.elegans GTPases at once. All proteins will be compared and classified according to their inherent biochemical activities. A new cluster tree will be built accordingly.